RESEARCH

Open Access



Rapid reconstitution of CD4 T cells and NK cells protects against CMV-reactivation after allogeneic stem cell transplantation

Julia Drylewicz^{1,5†}, Ingrid M. M. Schellens^{1†}, Rogier Gaiser¹, Nening M. Nanlohy¹, Esther D. Quakkelaar¹, Henny Otten¹, Suzanne van Dorp^{1,2}, Ronald Jacobi¹, Leonie Ran¹, Sanne Spijkers¹, Dan Koning¹, Rob Schuurman³, Ellen Meijer⁶, Floortje L. Pietersma¹, Jurgen Kuball^{1,2} and Debbie van Baarle^{1,4,7*}

Abstract

Background: Epstein-Barr virus and Cytomegalovirus reactivations frequently occur after allogeneic stem cell transplantation (SCT).

Methods: Here we investigated the role of immune cell reconstitution in the onset and subsequent severity of EBVand CMV-reactivation. To this end, 116 patients were prospectively sampled for absolute T cell (CD4 and CD8), B-cell (CD19) and NK-cell (CD16 and CD56) numbers weekly post-SCT during the first 3 months and thereafter monthly until 6 months post-SCT. Viral load was monitored in parallel.

Results: In contrast to the general belief, we found that early T-cell reconstitution does not play a role in the onset of viral reactivation. CMV reactivation in the first 7 weeks after SCT however resulted in higher absolute CD8⁺ T-cell numbers 6 months post-SCT in patients with high-level reactivation, many of which were CMV-specific. Interestingly, rapid reconstitution of CD4⁺ T-cells, as well as NK cells and the presence of donor KIR3DL1, are associated with the absence of CMV-reactivation after SCT, suggestive of a protective role of these cells. In contrast, EBV-reactivations were not affected in any way by the level of immune reconstitution after SCT.

Conclusion: In conclusion, these data suggest that CD4⁺ T-cells and NK cells, rather than CD8⁺ T-cells, are associated with protection against CMV-reactivation.

Keywords: Stem cell transplantation, CMV, EBV, Immune reconstitution

Background

Herpesvirus reactivations, both Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) [1] and Cytomegalovirus (CMV) [2, 3], occur frequently after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (SCT). Both viruses persist lifelong in the host in whom there is a tightly regulated balance between the virus infected cells and control by cytotoxic T-cell responses [1, 4, 5]. However, during the immunosuppressive state

⁷ Department of Immune Mechanisms, National Institute for Public Health and the environment (RIVM), Center for Infectious Disease Control, Antonie van leeuwenhoeklaan 9, Bilthoven, The Netherlands Full list of author information is available at the end of the article following SCT, viral reactivation can cause severe complications. Early diagnosis and treatment is considered crucial in order to prevent EBV-associated post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders (EBV-PTLD) and CMV disease related mortality after SCT [6].

Frequent monitoring of EBV and CMV-DNA loads post transplantation is used to detect viral reactivations and infections providing a basis for pre-emptive therapy to prevent clinical complications [7, 8]. Both CD8⁺ T-cells as well as Natural Killer (NK) cells are key effector cells in eliminating virus-infected cells [9, 10]. For EBV it has been shown that incorporating T-cell reconstitution data increases the positive predictive value for development of EBV-PTLD [11]. Also for CMV, recovery of CMV-specific T-cells to levels greater than



© 2016 The Author(s). This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*}Correspondence: Debbie.van.baarle@rivm.nl

⁺Julia Drylewicz and Ingrid M. M. Schellens contributed equally to the study

 10×10^6 /l were shown to be associated with protection from CMV-disease [12]. As measuring antigen-specific T-cell responses is often elaborate and patient-specific reagents are needed, a more general measure for immune reconstitution, including absolute cell counts, would be favored. In this light, Annels et al. have indicated an arbitrary value of sufficient T-cell reconstitution for which pre-emptive therapy can be withheld upon EBVreactivation [13]. However, insufficient data exist on the relationship between viral reactivations and immune reconstitution. Even more, no comparative data exists on the role of different viruses on immune reconstitution in a single study.

Therefore, we here investigated the relationship between immune reconstitution and viral reactivation for both EBV and CMV separately in a prospective study in 116 allogeneic SCT recipients. We measured absolute CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cells, CD16⁺ and CD56⁺ NK cells, and CD19⁺ B-cells weekly during the first 12 weeks post-SCT and thereafter at a monthly basis. We show that presence of donor inhibitory killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptor (KIR) KIR3DL1, rapid reconstitution of CD4⁺ T-cells and NK cells early after SCT is protective against CMV reactivation. In contrast, CMV reactivation early after SCT results in an enormous increase in total CD8⁺ T-cells 6 months post-SCT, many of which are CMV-specific. We did not observe any link between immune reconstitution dynamics and EBV reactivations in our cohort.

Methods

Study population and study design

116 patients receiving allogeneic SCT between January 2007 and June 2009 were prospectively followed during 6 months post-SCT at the department of Haematology of the University Medical Center Utrecht. Blood samples were drawn weekly from all patients to determine EBV and CMV load. After removal of plasma for EBV and CMV PCR analyses, the leftover whole blood was used in this study. The research was approved by the UMCU ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and studies were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the World Medical Association's Declaration of Helsinki.

CMV and EBV-monitoring

CMV and EBV-monitoring was based on real-time TaqManTM CMV or EBV DNA PCR assay in ethylenediaminetetra acetic acid (EDTA)-plasma [14–16] performed weekly in all patients until 4 months posttransplantation. Patients were treated pre-emptively with valganciclovir (900 mg twice daily) when CMV-DNA load exceeded 500 copies/ml and with Rituximab 375 mg/m2 when EBV-DNA exceeded 1000 copies/ml. Valaciclovir prophylaxis was given to all patients (500 mg twice daily). Viral reactivations and/or infections were defined as EBV and or CMV viral load exceeding the detection limit of 50 copies/ml in plasma.

Absolute immune cell counts

To determine the absolute number of immune cells per μ l whole blood, TRUcountTM tubes (BD Biosciences (BD), San José, California, USA) were used according to manufacturers' protocol. In brief, 50 μ l of whole blood was incubated with CD45-PerCP (BD), CD3-Pacific Blue (eBioscience Inc., San Diego, California, USA), CD8-APC-Cy7 (BD), CD4-PE-Cy7, CD16-PE, CD19-FITC and CD56-APC. Thereafter erythrocytes were lysed (BD lysisbuffer) and samples were measured on LSR-II FACS machine. At least 2000 lymphocytes were measured (identified as CD45⁺SSC^{low}) and analyzed with FACSdiva software (BD). We gated on CD3⁺CD4⁺ T-lymphocytes, CD3⁺CD6⁺ NK cells, CD3⁻CD56⁺ NK cells, and CD19⁺ B cells.

Statistical analysis

All transplantation related risk factors for the development of CMV- or EBV-reactivation were assessed using a Fisher's Exact test. We investigated the effects of CMV/ EBV-reactivation on immune reconstitution using piecewise linear mixed models. For all subsets, two slopes were considered: one for early changes and one for the longterm trend. The time for the slope's change (t = 7 weeks)was determined for all patients by a likelihood profile. The correlation between individual baseline values and the subsequent slopes was handled through the unstructured covariance matrix of random effects. Models were adjusted for age at SCT (<50 and \geq 50 years), gender and ATG administration. The effects of immune reconstitution on CMV/EBV-reactivation were studied with Cox proportional-hazards models using time-dependent covariates (taking into account the marker changes over time). Cumulative incidence of viral reactivation were estimated by Kaplan-Meier analysis and were compared using the log-rank test.

P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA) and SPSS version 20.0.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

Results

Patient population

116 patients receiving allogeneic stem cell transplantation between January 2007 and June 2009 were prospectively followed during the first 6 months post-SCT for EBVand/or CMV-infections or reactivations. Patient and transplantation related characteristics are described in Table 1. Patients received an allogeneic SCT from either a related (n = 35) or an unrelated (n = 81) donor. The stem cell source was mostly peripheral blood (n = 106) and in most patients a nonmyeloablative conditioning regime was used (n = 106). In vivo T-cell depletion with anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG) was added to the conditioning regimen in patients receiving grafts from unrelated donors or HLA mismatched donors (n = 87). Transplantation associated risk factors that could influence the onset of viral reactivation were assessed in univariate analysis (Table 1). Only CMV serostatus of patient and donor (p < 0.0001) and ATG administration (p = 0.03) were significantly associated with onset of viral reactivation. Figure 1 shows the reconstitution dynamics after SCT of median CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cells (Fig. 1a), B-cells and NK cells (Fig. 1b) for all patients. All subsets except CD4⁺ T-cells reached lower normal levels within 6 months post-SCT. NK cells reconstituted fastest, within 5 weeks after SCT their levels reached normal values and stabilized. CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cells reconstituted similarly, though CD4 levels remained below normal. B-cells reconstituted more gradual and reached near normal levels at the end of follow-up.

Viral reactivation

Patients were monitored during 6 months post-SCT for both EBV and CMV DNA in plasma. Viral infection or reactivation (viral load exceeding 50 copies/ml plasma) was diagnosed in 54 patients (47 %, Table 1). Twentyeight patients (52 %) developed a low-level (load < 1000 copies/ml) viral reactivation and 26 (48 %, Table 1) a high-level (maximum load >1000 copies/ml). The median time to EBV-reactivation was 6 weeks (range 3–56) while it was 5 weeks for CMV reactivation (range 1–36 weeks). Among the CMV-reactivations, more than two-third (68 %) occurred during the first 7 weeks post-SCT. We observed two primary CMV infections who did not differ in term of time of viral infection and immune reconstitution from the rest of the patients.

Increased CD8⁺ T-cell reconstitution after viral reactivation

To investigate whether the onset of viral reactivation after SCT influences the level of immune reconstitution, we used linear mixed effects models categorizing patients based on the occurrence of either EBV- or CMVreactivation. No significant differences were observed in CD4⁺ T-cell and CD19⁺ B-cell reconstitution during the first 6 months post-SCT between patients with or without CMV-reactivation (Fig. 2). Remarkably, as reported recently by us for a retrospective cohort [17], the level of CD8⁺ T-cells 3–6 months post-SCT was significantly higher in patients with a CMV-reactivation compared to patients without in this larger prospective study (p < 0.0001). Moreover, patients with a CMV-reactivation showed a significantly lower level of NK cells (both CD16 and CD56) during the first months post-SCT compared to patients without CMV-reactivation (p = 0.007 and p = 0.018 respectively), which was independent of age, gender and ATG administration. Interestingly, when categorizing patients based on the occurrence of EBV instead of CMV-reactivation, no differences were observed in reconstitution dynamics for any of the cell types (Fig. 3).

Patients with CMV-reactivation showed significantly higher numbers of CD8⁺ T-cells at 6 months post-SCT (median 567, range 50–3589 CD8⁺ T-cells/µl) compared to patients without (median 188, range 12-713 CD8⁺ T-cells/ μ l; p < 0.0001). Our current prospective cohort with dense and extensive measurements allowed us to investigate if these high numbers were driven by the level and/or timing of CMV-reactivation. The highest numbers of CD8⁺ T-cells at 6 months post-SCT occurred in patients with a high-level CMV-reactivation (median 1419, range 295-3589 CD8⁺ T-cells/µl) (Additional file 1: Figure S1) and were threefold higher compared to healthy controls (average CD8⁺ T-cell number in healthy controls 395 cells/µl). Moreover, we found that patients with a CMV-reactivation during the first seven weeks post-SCT had higher CD8⁺ T-cell counts at 6 months post-SCT compared to patients with later CMV-reactivation (p < 0.0001). These data suggest that the observed increase in CD8⁺ T-cell numbers was the result of CMVreactivation rather than playing a role in protection against CMV-reactivation. In contrast, EBV-reactivation seemed to play no role in CD8⁺ T-cell reconstitution.

The level of CD4⁺ and CD16⁺ cells has prognostic value for the risk of CMV-reactivation

As we observed that NK cell levels during the first weeks post-SCT were higher in patients without CMV-reactivation, we used Cox proportional hazard models to investigate if the level of NK cells could be a predictor of the occurrence of subsequent CMV-reactivation. Indeed, with each increase of 50 CD16⁺ cells/µl, the risk of an early CMV-reactivation decreased with 20 % (HR: 0.800; 95 % CI [0.664; 0.963], Table 2). Interestingly, also a sufficient number of CD4⁺ T-cells was found to be associated with lower risk of CMV-reactivation: with each increase of 100 CD4⁺ T-cells/µl the risk of CMV-reactivation decreased with \sim 20 % (HR: 0.837; 95 % CI [0.704; 0.994], Table 2). No significant associations were found for the other subsets (Table 2).

On average, CMV-reactivation occurred around 5 weeks post-SCT. Therefore, we next investigated whether CMV-reactivation occurs less frequently in

Table 1 Patient characteristics

	Total	Reactivation				p value ^a
		Total (%)	CMV only	EBV only	CMV and EBV	
Number of patients	116	54 (47)	36	9	9	
Sex						
М	71	30 (42)	18	8	4	0.26
F	45	24 (53)	18	1	5	
Median age (range)	49.8 (17.6–70.6)	50.7 (17.6–68.5)	55.7 (28.5–68.5)	55.8 (17.5–68.3)	56.8 (23.8–65.8)	0.43
Stemcell source						
Cord blood	1	0 (0)	0	0	0	0.30
Peripheral blood	106	48 (45)	34	6	7	
Bone marrow	9	6 (67)	2	3	2	
Donor						
Related	35	13 (37)	11	1	2	0.23
Unrelated	81	40 (5)	25	8	7	
HLA mismatch	20	11 (55)	7	2	2	
Conditioning						
NMA	106	50 (47)	36	6	8	0.47
MA	10	4 (40)	0	2	2	
ATG	87	46 (53)	28	9	9	0.03
EBV serology (R/D)						
+/+	94	42 (45)	27	8	7	0.84
+/-	5	3 (60)	1	0	2	
-/+	4	2 (50)	2	0	0	
/	11	6 (54)	5	1	0	
CMV serology (R/D)						
+/+	39	28 (72)	24	0	4	<10^-4
+/-	37	18 (49)	10	5	3	
-/+	10	2 (20)	1	0	1	
/	28	5 (18)	1	4	0	
aGVHD						
Yes	64	29 (44)	17	5	7	0.83
No information	19	9 (47)	8	1	0	
Relapse	14	7 (50)	2	0	0	0.78
Viral load ^b						
Low (<1000 copies/ml)	NA	28 (52)	21	4	3	NA
High (>1000 copies/ml)	NA	26 (48)	15	5	6	

ATG anti-thymocyte globulin; EBV Epstein-Barr virus; CMV cytomegalovirus; R/D recipient/donor; aGVHD acute graft versus host disease; NA non-applicable

^a Comparison between reactivation and no reactivation group: unpaired t test for age, univariate analysis using Fisher's Exact test

^b Patients were categorized in reactivation categories based on their peak viral load of either EBV and/or CMV DNA in plasma during 6 months post-SCT

patients with CD4⁺ T-cells and/or CD16⁺ NK cells above the median value observed at week 5 post-SCT. Indeed, the cumulative incidence (CI) of CMV-reactivation was significantly lower in patients with an absolute CD4⁺ T-cell count above 55 cells/µl (p = 0.019, Fig. 4a). Similarly, patients with a CD16⁺ cell count above 84 cells/ µl had a significantly lower risk of CMV-reactivation (p = 0.0014; Fig. 4b). Finally, we analyzed the impact of CMV-reactivation on the time to normalize cell counts. In line with our previous data we observed that patients with a CMV-reactivation tend to reach the lower bound of normal CD8⁺ T-cell counts faster compared with patients without a CMV-reactivation (p = 0.13, data not shown). On the other hand, patients with a CMVreactivation tend to reach the lower bound of normal



thereafter at a monthly basis. In (**a**) the median value for CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells are plotted per time point. Lower normal values for healthy controls, based on Jentsch-Ullrich et al. (Clin Immunol 2005) and Comans-Bitter et al. (J Pediatr 1997), are depicted with a *dashed line*. Similarly, in (**b**) the median value for CD16⁺ and CD56⁺ NK cells, as well as CD19⁺ B cells are plotted per time point



NK cell counts slower compared with patients without a CMV-reactivation (p = 0.13 and p = 0.05 for CD16⁺ and CD56⁺ respectively, data not shown). Even though a higher than median value of CD4⁺ T-cells 5 weeks post-SCT was associated with a lower risk of CMV-reactivation, almost none of the patients reached the lower bound of normal CD4⁺ T-cells during follow-up. Again, no difference was observed in time to normalize B-cells between patients with or without a CMV-reactivation.





 Table 2 Cox proportional hazard analysis of the effect of reconstitution after SCT on the risk of CMV reactivation

	Increase of	Hazard ratio [95 % CI]	p value
CD4	100 cells	0.837 [0.704;0.994]	0.04
CD8	100 cells	0.982 [0.957;1.007]	0.16
CD16	50 cells	0.800 [0.664;0.963]	0.02
CD56	50 cells	0.877 [0.727;1.058]	0.17
CD19	25 cells	0.996 [0.984;1.009]	0.57

Genetic presence of donor inhibitory KIR 3DL1 is associated with a lower risk of CMV-reactivation

Both T and NK cells express killer cell immunoglobulinlike receptors (KIR) which bind to HLA molecules and can deliver inhibitory or activating signal to cells [18]. As patients at high risk of infection can be identified by genotyping KIRs, we next investigated if donor KIRs have a protective effect on CMV-reactivation. We found that patients with donor KIR of haplotype A (no other activating KIR than 2DS4) had a lower risk of CMVreactivation than patients with donor KIR haplotype B (presence of activating KIR other than 2DS4) [HR: 0.427; 95 % CI (0.255; 0.885)]. We also found that the presence of the inhibitory KIR 3DL1 (n = 96) significantly reduced the risk of CMV-reactivation of ~80 % (HR: 0.243; 95 % CI [0.085; 0.691], Fig. 4c) while the KIR 3DS1 (n = 39), a common allelic variation of 3DL1, was not associated with CMV-reactivation. Moreover, the activating KIRs 2DS4 (n = 54), 2DS2 (n = 4) and their coexpression (n = 39) were not significantly associated with CMV-reactivation in our cohort.

Discussion

Persistent viruses, like EBV and CMV, are normally controlled through cytotoxic T-cell responses [1, 4, 5]. Adequate T-cell reconstitution after SCT is therefore crucial in preventing viral reactivation progressing to severe complications [11, 12]. Here, we studied the role of immune reconstitution in the onset and severity of the viral reactivation. In contrast to the general belief, we found that early CD8⁺ T-cell reconstitution does not play a role in the early onset of viral reactivations. Proper reconstitution of CD4⁺ T-cells, as well as NK cells, and the presence of donor inhibitory KIR 3DL1, however significantly associated with a lower risk of CMV-reactivation after SCT.

CD4⁺ T-cell counts did not return to normal levels during follow-up, as also observed by others [19, 20]. In addition, we did not find a difference in CD4⁺ T-cell reconstitution between patients experiencing



CMV-reactivation or not. We did however find a clear association between CD4⁺ T-cell reconstitution levels early after SCT and the risk of CMV-reactivation. The importance of proper CD4⁺ T-cell reconstitution and improved outcome after transplantation has been recently emphasized in a pediatric cohort, although this study did not investigate the role of CMV [21]. We also found that CD4⁺ T-cells tend to reconstitute better after CMV-reactivation in patients with early reactivation (not shown). These results suggest that patients with proper CD4⁺ T-cell reconstitution will have a lower risk of CMV-reactivation. However, if they do reactivate CMV, their CD4⁺ T-cell counts will increase and reach similar levels compared to patients without CMV-reactivation. In line with this, Berger et al. also showed that patients with low CD4⁺ T-cell count on day 35 post-SCT had a higher risk of dying of infections [19]. Even though our study was not designed to determine an actual cutoff value for CD4⁺ T-cells, nor for NK cells, to be protective against CMV-reactivation, we show that a patient with e.g. 100 NK cells/µl has a 20 % lower risk to reactivate CMV compared with a patient with only 50 NK cells/µl at that same moment.

The presence of donor activating KIRs has been described to protect against CMV-reactivation in solid organ and hematopoietic cell transplantation [22–25]. In our study, however, we did not find a protective effect of any donor activating KIRs (including KIR 2DS4 and 2DS2). It is described that the protective effect of KIRs might depend on their gene expression levels. Elevated KIR gene expression has been shown to be associated with risk of CMV-reactivation. These elevated activating KIR expressions in CMV-viremic SCT patients might be due to factors that activate CMV or are even initiated by

CMV [26]. Interestingly, we found that donor KIR 3DL1 was associated with a lower risk of CMV-reactivation supporting a recent study that showed that in vitro 3DL1 expression correlated with lysis of CMV-infected fibroblasts [27]. Moreover, we found that patients with donor KIR haplotype A had a lower risk of CMV-reactivation than patients with donor KIR haplotype B. Although this result is in contradiction with published studies [23, 28], a recent study in renal transplant patients also showed that depending on HLA-type, KIR haplotype A might be protective against infection such as CMV [24].

It is well known that CMV-specific CD8⁺ T-cells are important in the control of CMV-reactivations after SCT. However, NK cells were shown to effectively control CMV infection even in the absence of T-cells [10]. We have previously reported the importance of gdT-cells after SCT to help resolving viral reactivation [17] (measured in a subgroup of the present study population) and it is therefore tempting to speculate that NK cells, together with CD4⁺ T-cells, are important for the initial control of CMV shortly after SCT, whereas CD8⁺ T-cells as well as gdT-cells, are most likely more important for the resolution of as well as toxicities due to viral reactivations. Several studies have indeed described the association between virus-specific T-cells and CMV or EBV-related complications [11–13, 29].

In our study we show a strong impact of CMV-reactivation on the number of total CD8⁺ T-cells following reactivation (at 6 months post-SCT). This could result in a false positive perception of adequate T-cell reconstitution. Although high numbers of T-cells are present, these are more often of an effector rather than a memory phenotype and contain many CMV-specific T-cells (data not shown and [30]). This may result in a restricted repertoire of the T-cell pool, which may be detrimental for the host. Indeed, Suessmuth et al. recently showed that CMVreactivation results in a clonal expansion of CMV-specific T-cells and significant defects in the total CD8 Tem TCR repertoire [30]. Surprisingly, there were marked differences in reconstitution dynamics between patients with EBV- versus CMV-reactivation. The rapid expansion of T-cells seen in patients after CMV-reactivation was not observed in patients with high-level EBV-reactivations, suggesting that this rapid expansion is not a phenomenon caused by viruses in general but is CMV-specific.

In-vivo T-cell depletion through alemtuzumab has been shown to delay both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell reconstitution and ATG administration results in a delayed CD4⁺ T-cell reconstitution [31]. Also in our study, we observed differences in T-cell counts early after SCT between patients who received ATG and patients that did not. Treatment with ATG resulted in delayed CD4 reconstitution, but increased CD8 T-cell numbers, irrespective of viral reactivation (data not shown). Although age is also known to have an impact on immune reconstitution [32–34], the associations between reconstitution dynamics and viral reactivation we observed here were also independent of recipients' age.

Conclusions

Based on these findings, we hypothesize that onset of CMV-reactivation is not influenced by a lack of CD8⁺ T-cell control, but rather by lack of sufficient numbers of CD4⁺ T-cells as well as NK cells early after SCT. CD8⁺ T-cells, on the other hand, significantly increase later after CMV-reactivation when most viral reactivations had resolved, and thus most likely play an important role in determining the outcome of reactivation in the long run. Moreover, the marked differences between EBV- and CMV-reactivation observed in this study calls for future studies investigating potential associations between other common viruses and immune reconstitution dynamics after SCT.

Additional file

Additional file 1. Figure S1. CD8+ T cell counts at 6 months post-SCT in patients with and without viral reactivation. Number of CD8+ T cell counts 6 months post-SCT in patients without viral reactivation (n = 33), with a low level of viral reactivation (viral load <1000 copies/ml, n = 12) and with a high level of viral reactivation (viral load >1000 copies/ml, n = 9).

Abbreviations

EBV: Epstein-Barr virus; CMV: Cytomegalovirus; SCT: stem cell transplantation; EBV-PTLD: EBV-associated post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders; NK cells: natural killer cells; KIR: killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptor; ATG: antithymocyte globulin.

Authors' contributions

IMMS performed data analyses and wrote the paper; JD performed statistical analyses and wrote the paper; RG, NMN, RJ, LR, EDQ, SS, DK, HO, SD, RS were all involved in acquiring data; EM and JK were involved in acquiring clinical data and writing of the manuscript; FP acquired data, performed initial analyses and was involved in writing the paper; DB initiated the project and wrote the paper. JD and IMMS contributed equally to the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Laboratory of Translational Immunology, Department of Immunology, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ² Department of Haematology, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ³ Department of Virology, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ⁴ Department of Internal Medicine and Infectious Diseases, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ⁵ Theoretical Biology and Bioinformatics, Department of Biology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ⁶ Department of Haematology, VUMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ⁷ Department of Immune Mechanisms, National Institute for Public Health and the environment (RIWM), Center for Infectious Disease Control, Antonie van Ieeuwenhoeklaan 9, Bilthoven, The Netherlands.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by a grant from the Dutch cancer society (DSC UU2006-3609), the Beijerinck premium 2007 from the Royal Dutch Academy of Sciences (KNAW) and the VIRGO consortium founded by the Dutch government (project number FES0908) and by the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (Project Number 050-060-452).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

All data are available in the manuscript or upon request to the authors.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

The research was approved by the UMCU ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and studies were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the World Medical Association's Declaration of Helsinki.

Funding

The work was supported by a grant from the Dutch cancer society (DSC UU2006-3609), the Beijerinck premium 2007 from the Royal Dutch Academy of Sciences (KNAW) and the VIRGO consortium founded by the Dutch government (Project Number FES0908) and by the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (Project Number 050-060-452).

Received: 4 February 2016 Accepted: 26 July 2016 Published online: 02 August 2016

References

- 1. Cohen JI. Epstein-Barr virus infection. N Engl J Med. 2000;343:481–92.
- Munro SC, Hall B, Whybin LR, Leader L, Robertson P, Maine GT, et al. Diagnosis of and screening for cytomegalovirus infection in pregnant women. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:4713–8.
- Sohn YM, Oh MK, Balcarek KB, Cloud GA, Pass RF. Cytomegalovirus infection in sexually active adolescents. J Infect Dis. 1991;163:460–3.
- Moss P, Khan N. CD8(+) T-cell immunity to cytomegalovirus. Hum Immunol. 2004;65:456–64.
- Rickinson AB, Moss DJ. Human cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses to Epstein-Barr virus infection. Annu Rev Immunol. 1997;15:405–31.
- Nalesnik MA. The diverse pathology of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders: the importance of a standardized approach. Transplant Infect Dis. 2001;3:88–96.
- Meijer E, Boland GJ, Verdonck LF. Prevention of cytomegalovirus disease in recipients of allogeneic stem cell transplants. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2003;16:647–57.
- Pietersma F, Piriou E, van Baarle D. Immune surveillance of EBV-infected B cells and the development of non-Hodgkin lymphomas in immunocompromised patients. Leuk Lymphoma. 2008;49:1028–41.
- 9. Barry M, Bleackley RC. Cytotoxic T lymphocytes: all roads lead to death. Nat Rev Immunol. 2002;2:401–9.
- Kuijpers TW, Baars PA, Dantin C, van den Burg M, van Lier RA, Roosnek E. Human NK cells can control CMV infection in the absence of T cells. Blood. 2008;112:914–5.
- 11. Meij P, van Esser JW, Niesters HG, van Baarle D, Miedema F, Blake N, et al. Impaired recovery of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)–specific CD8+ T lymphocytes after partially T-depleted allogeneic stem cell transplantation may identify patients at very high risk for progressive EBV reactivation and lymphoproliferative disease. Blood. 2003;101:4290–7.
- Gratama JW, van Esser JW, Lamers CH, Tournay C, Lowenberg B, Bolhuis RL, et al. Tetramer-based quantification of cytomegalovirus (CMV)specific CD8+ T lymphocytes in T-cell-depleted stem cell grafts and after transplantation may identify patients at risk for progressive CMV infection. Blood. 2001;98:1358–64.
- Annels NE, Kalpoe JS, Bredius RG, Claas EC, Kroes AC, Hislop AD, et al. Management of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) reactivation after allogeneic stem cell transplantation by simultaneous analysis of EBV DNA load and EBV-specific T cell reconstitution. Clin Infect Dis. 2006;42:1743–8.
- Meijer E, Spijkers S, Moschatsis S, Boland GJ, Thijsen SF, van Loon AM, et al. Active Epstein-Barr virus infection after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: re-infection or reactivation? Transplant Infect Dis. 2005;7:4–10.
- Niesters HG, van Esser J, Fries E, Wolthers KC, Cornelissen J, Osterhaus AD. Development of a real-time quantitative assay for detection of Epstein-Barr virus. J Clin Microbiol. 2000;38:712–5.

- van Doornum GJ, Guldemeester J, Osterhaus AD, Niesters HG. Diagnosing herpesvirus infections by real-time amplification and rapid culture. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41:576–80.
- Scheper W, van Dorp S, Kersting S, Pietersma F, Lindemans C, Hol S, et al. GammadeltaT cells elicited by CMV reactivation after allo-SCT crossrecognize CMV and leukemia. Leukemia. 2013;27:1328–38.
- Mingari MC, Moretta A, Moretta L. Regulation of KIR expression in human T cells: a safety mechanism that may impair protective T-cell responses. Immunol Today. 1998;19:153–7.
- Berger M, Biasin E, Saglio F, Fagioli F. Innovative approaches to treat steroid-resistant or steroid refractory GVHD. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2008;42(Suppl 2):S101–5.
- 20. Atkinson K. Reconstruction of the haemopoietic and immune systems after marrow transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant. 1990;5:209–26.
- Admiraal R, van Kesteren C, van der Jol-Sijde C, Lankester A, Bierings M, Egberts T, et al. Association between anti-thymocyte globulin exposure and CD4+ immune reconstitution in paediatric haemopoeitic cell transplantation: a multicenter, retrospective phramacodynamic cohort analysis. Lancet Haematol. 2015;2:e194–203.
- Chen C, Busson M, Rocha V, Appert ML, Lepage V, Dulphy N, et al. Activating KIR genes are associated with CMV reactivation and survival after non-T-cell depleted HLA-identical sibling bone marrow transplantation for malignant disorders. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2006;38:437–44.
- Stern M, Elsasser H, Honger G, Steiger J, Schaub S, Hess C. The number of activating KIR genes inversely correlates with the rate of CMV infection/reactivation in kidney transplant recipients. Am J Transplant. 2008;8:1312–7.
- 24. Jones DC, Peacock S, Hughes D, Traherne JA, Allen RL, Barnardo MC, et al. Killer immunoglobulin-like receptor gene repertoire influences viral load of primary human cytomegalovirus infection in renal transplant patients. Genes Immun. 2014;15:562–8.
- 25. Behrendt CE, Nakamura R, Forman SJ, Zaia JA. Donor killer immunoglobulin-like receptor genes and reactivation of cytomegalovirus after HLA-matched hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation: HLA-C allotype is an essential cofactor. Front Immunol. 2013;4:36.
- Gallez-Hawkins GM, Franck AE, Li X, Thao L, Oki A, Gendzekhadze K, et al. Expression of activating KIR2DS2 and KIR2DS4 genes after hematopoietic cell transplantation: relevance to cytomegalovirus infection. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2011;17:1662–72.
- de Rham C, Hadaya K, Bandelier C, Ferrari-Lacraz S, Villard J. Expression of killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptors (KIRs) by natural killer cells during acute CMV infection after kidney transplantation. Transplant Immunol. 2014;31:157–64.
- Cook M, Briggs D, Craddock C, Mahendra P, Milligan D, Fegan C, et al. Donor KIR genotype has a major influence on the rate of cytomegalovirus reactivation following T-cell replete stem cell transplantation. Blood. 2006;107:1230–2.
- Ganepola S, Gentilini C, Hilbers U, Lange T, Rieger K, Hofmann J, et al. Patients at high risk for CMV infection and disease show delayed CD8+ T-cell immune recovery after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2007;39:293–9.
- Suessmuth Y, Mukherjee R, Watkins B, Koura DT, Finstermeier K, Desmarais C, et al. CMV reactivation drives posttransplant T-cell reconstitution and results in defects in the underlying TCRbeta repertoire. Blood. 2015;125:3835–50.
- Schmidt-Hieber M, Schwarck S, Stroux A, Ganepola S, Reinke P, Thiel E, et al. Immune reconstitution and cytomegalovirus infection after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: the important impact of in vivo T cell depletion. Int J Hematol. 2010;91:877–85.
- Small TN, Papadopoulos EB, Boulad F, Black P, Castro-Malaspina H, Childs BH, et al. Comparison of immune reconstitution after unrelated and related T-cell-depleted bone marrow transplantation: effect of patient age and donor leukocyte infusions. Blood. 1999;93:467–80.
- Madrigal JA, Scott I, Arguello R, Szydlo R, Little AM, Goldman JM. Factors influencing the outcome of bone marrow transplants using unrelated donors. Immunol Rev. 1997;157:153–66.
- Fallen PR, McGreavey L, Madrigal JA, Potter M, Ethell M, Prentice HG, et al. Factors affecting reconstitution of the T cell compartment in allogeneic haematopoietic cell transplant recipients. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2003;32:1001–14.