

MEETING ABSTRACT

Open Access

Translational medicine from observation to hypothesis to interpretation

Ena Wang

From 2012 Sino-American Symposium on Clinical and Translational Medicine (SAS-CTM) Shanghai, China. 27-29 June 2012

A cancer immune signature implicating good prognosis and responsiveness to immunotherapy was described that is observed also in other aspects of immune-mediated, tissue-specific destruction (TSD). Its determinism remains, however, elusive. On one side it appears that the genetic background of the host's bears significantly on immune responsiveness, on the other it appears that tumor can behave differently within the same genetic background (as in the case of mixed responses). This apparent paradox can only be explained by a multi-factorial model of cancer immune responsiveness. It should be emphasized that host and cancer genetics are largely overlapping since cancer cells carry the majority of the host's genetics. Thus, inherited genetic factors may affect the biology of cancer cells besides that of normal cells. It could be postulated that some patients carry a genetic background that make them resistant to immunotherapy by effecting either the biology of the immune response, the biology of the cancer cells or both. On the other hand, "an immune-responsive genotype" may still be limited by the genetics of the tumors: in other words, although the patient may be predisposed to cancer rejection the tumor lacks additional properties necessary for its recognition by the immune response. In this model, a favorable genetic background of the host is necessary but not sufficient for tumor rejection as the possession of a shotgun is necessary to shoot a duck but at the same time a skill in shooting is required. A good example is provided by the analysis of patients with IRF-5 polymorphism; the "immune resistant phenotype" appears to almost exclusively preclude cancer rejection during adoptive therapy with tumor infiltrating lymphocytes; however, "the immune responsive phenotype" can be segregated into two categories; one enriched in patients responding to therapy and the other of non-responding.

Although, other host's genetic factors could be responsible for this sub-classification, it is also possible that, given a favorable genetic background, the genetics of the tumor may become the determining factor.

We recognize that this classification of factors that may influence immune responsiveness may be too rigid. In reality, immune responsiveness may depend upon a continuum determined by the interaction of a multitude of factors that for simplicity can be separated into broad categories depending upon the host's genetic background, somatic mutations, and external factors such as intensity and effectiveness of treatment, general condition of the patient and a multitude of other hidden co-factors. In the presentation at the NY Academy of Sciences we will present our strategy to dissect the question of cancer immune responsiveness by study dynamically the behavior of human cancers under natural conditions on in response to therapy.

Published: 17 October 2012

doi:10.1186/1479-5876-10-S2-A44

Cite this article as: Wang: Translational medicine from observation to hypothesis to interpretation. *Journal of Translational Medicine* 2012 **10**(Suppl 2):A44.

Correspondence: ewang@cc.nih.gov Center for Human Immunology, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20892-1502, USA

