

## **ORAL PRESENTATION**

**Open Access** 

coBRIM: a phase 3, double-blind, placebocontrolled study of vemurafenib versus vemurafenib + cobimetinib in previously untreated *BRAF*<sup>V600</sup> mutation–positive patients with unresectable locally advanced or metastatic melanoma (NCT01689519)

Paolo A Ascierto<sup>1\*</sup>, Grant A McArthur<sup>2</sup>, Brigitte Dréno<sup>3</sup>, James Larkin<sup>4</sup>, Gabriella Liszkay<sup>5</sup>, Michele Maio<sup>6</sup>, Mario Mandala<sup>7</sup>, Lev Demidov<sup>8</sup>, Daniil Stroyakovskiy<sup>9</sup>, Luc Thomas<sup>10</sup>, Luis de la Cruz-Merino<sup>11</sup>, Victoria Atkinson<sup>12</sup>, Caroline Dutriaux<sup>13</sup>, Claus Garbe<sup>14</sup>, Ilsung Chang<sup>15</sup>, Stephen P. Hack<sup>15</sup>, Antoni Ribas<sup>16</sup>

From Melanoma Bridge Meeting 2014 Naples, Italy. 03-06 December 2014

## **Background**

Combined inhibition of BRAF and MEK is hypothesized to improve clinical outcomes by preventing or delaying onset of resistance observed with BRAF inhibitors alone. This randomized phase 3 study evaluated the combination of the BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib and the MEK inhibitor cobimetinib.

## Materials and methods

495 patients were randomly assigned to receive vemura-fenib + cobimetinib (60 mg QD, 21 days on/7 days off) or vemurafenib (960 mg BID) + placebo. Eligibility included treatment-naive  $BRAF^{V600}$  mutation-positive patients with unresectable locally advanced or metastatic melanoma and adequate performance status and organ function. The primary end point was investigator-assessed progression-free survival (PFS). Safety monitoring included serial cardiac and ophthalmic evaluation and measurement of creatine phosphokinase.

### **Results**

Median PFS was 9.9 months with the combination compared with 6.2 months with the control (HR, 0.51; 95% CI, 0.39-0.68; *P*<0.0001). Objective response rate (ORR)

was 68% in the combination and 45% in the control arm (P<0.0001), including complete response in 10% in the combination and 4% of patients in the control group. Subgroup analyses of PFS based on key demographic and tumor characteristics were consistent with PFS in the intent-to-treat population, including those with normal or elevated baseline lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). PFS assessed by independent review was comparable with investigator-assessed PFS. Interim overall survival (OS) data showed an HR of 0.65 (95% CI, 0.42-1.00) but did not cross the prespecified stopping boundary. Compared with vemurafenib alone, the combination was associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 or 4 adverse events (65% vs 59%), with no difference in the rate of adverse events leading to study drug discontinuation (13% vs 12%). Most grade ≥3 events occurred in the first 28 days and resolved quickly. Known MEK inhibitor-related toxicities such as diarrhea, serous retinopathy, elevated creatine phosphokinase, and increased liver transaminase levels were more commonly observed with the combination. The majority was grade 1 or 2, occurred between 1 and 4 months in the treatment course, and resolved quickly. The occurrence of secondary cutaneous neoplasms decreased with the combination (4% vs 18%). Photosensitivity was more common in patients treated with the combination (all grades 32% vs 18%).

<sup>1</sup>Istituto Nazionale Tumori Fondazione G. Pascale, Naples, Italy Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



#### Conclusion

Cobimetinib + vemurafenib significantly improved PFS and response rate among patients with *BRAF*<sup>V600</sup>-mutant metastatic melanoma, with promising preliminary OS analysis. Most combination-related toxicities are mild or moderate, occur early in treatment, and are manageable by dose modification and supportive care; treatment discontinuation is uncommon.

## Clinical trial registration number

NCT01689519.

#### Authors' details

<sup>1</sup>Istituto Nazionale Tumori Fondazione G. Pascale, Naples, Italy. <sup>2</sup>Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. <sup>3</sup>Hôtel Dieu Place Alexis Ricordeau, Nantes, France. <sup>4</sup>The Royal Marsden Hospital, London, UK. <sup>5</sup>National Institute of Oncology, Budapest, Hungary. <sup>6</sup>Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Senese, Siena, Italy. <sup>7</sup>Papa Giovanni XXIII Hospital, Bergamo, Italy. <sup>8</sup>N.N. Blokhin Russian Cancer Research Center, Moscow, Russia. <sup>9</sup>Moscow City Oncology Hospital 62, Krasnogorsk, Russia. <sup>10</sup>Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud, Pierre-Bénite, France. <sup>11</sup>Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Seville, Spain. <sup>12</sup>Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, QLD, Australia. <sup>13</sup>Hopital Saint André, Bordeaux, France. <sup>14</sup>University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany. <sup>15</sup>Genentech, Inc, South San Francisco, CA, USA. <sup>16</sup>Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center at the University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

Published: 15 January 2015

#### doi:10.1186/1479-5876-13-S1-O4

Cite this article as: Ascierto et al.: coBRIM: a phase 3, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of vemurafenib versus vemurafenib + cobimetinib in previously untreated BRAF<sup>V600</sup> mutation–positive patients with unresectable locally advanced or metastatic melanoma (NCT01689519). Journal of Translational Medicine 2015 13(Suppl 1):O4.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

