Open Access



A strategy to pioneer key agent(s) in *Cephalotaxus* alkaloids against pan-cancer via filtering methodology based on integrated pharmacology

Ki-Kwang Oh¹, Sang-Jun Yoon¹, Jung-A Eom¹, Kyeong Jin Lee¹, Goo-Hyun Kwon¹, Dong Joon Kim¹ and Ki-Tae Suk^{1*}

Dear Editor,

Over the past few decades, *Cephalotaxus* alkaloids (CAs) have been considered as significant natural agents with their intriguing chemical structures and diverse bioactivities, in particular, as anti-cancer mediator. However, the investigation of its medicinal values has put in dilemma due to the limited reservoir from nature. Furthermore, the chemical synthesis of the CAs required great demanding and trial-and-error. Thus, the aim of this study was to indicate the uppermost *Cephalotaxus* alkaloid (CA) in chemical repository, via integrated data analysis. We hypothesized the uppermost CA(s), target(s), and signaling pathway(s) can be established via cheminformatics, bioinformatics, computer screening tools, and quantum chemistry software with the holistic prospect. The CAs have potent therapeutic activities such as antileukemic, and anticancer efficacy [1]. The mainframe of structures is an azaspiranic tetracyclic scaffold (Fig. 1A). The workflow was represented in Fig. 1B.

First, the number of 37 CAs was piled by PubChem, and some literatures. The CAs were refined by Lipinski's rule utilizing SwissADME platform, suggesting that the accepted 27 species are the key compounds for anticancer agents (Additional file 1: Table S1). Second, with accurate and rigor expanse, the intersecting targets (119)

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 A The azaspiranic tetracyclic scaffold. **B** The workflow of this study. **C** The 119 overlapping targets between SP (353 targets) and STP (420 targets). **D** PPI networks (115 nodes, 603 edges). **E** A bubble chart of 37 signaling pathways against pan-cancer. **F** HSP90AA1— Nordeoxyharringtonine complex. **G** CASP8—Nordeoxyharringtonine complex. **H** TLR4—Nordeoxyharringtonine complex. **I** PRKCD— Nordeoxyharringtonine complex. **J** Density functional theory (DFT) plot and its energy gap (E_{gap}) for Nordeoxyharringtonine and conventional anticancer drugs. Red bar was indicated Nordeoxyharringtonine. **K** The key summary of this study

*Correspondence: Ki-Tae Suk ktsuk@hallym.ac.kr ¹ Institute for Liver and Digestive Diseases, College of Medicine, Hallym University, Chuncheon 24252, Korea



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.



Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)



Fig. 1 continued





Table 1 The profiling of density functional theory (DFT) with eleven conventional anti-cancer drugs and Nordeoxyharringtonine

No	Anti-cancer drugs and Nordeoxyharringtonine	LUMO	НОМО	E _{GAP} (eV)	η (eV)	S (eV)	χ (eV)
1	Aromendrane (*)	0.07927	- 0.24213	- 0.32140	0.16070	6.22278	- 0.16070
2	Anastrozole (*)	- 0.03977	- 0.26822	- 0.22845	0.11423	8.75465	- 0.11423
3	Cytarabine (*)	- 0.02924	- 0.22639	- 0.19715	0.09858	10.14456	- 0.09858
4	Nordeoxyharringtonine	- 0.01390	- 0.19621	- 0.18231	0.09116	10.97033	- 0.09116
5	Altretamine (*)	- 0.02441	- 0.20323	- 0.17882	0.08941	11.18443	- 0.08941
6	Coronaridine (*)	- 0.01691	- 0.18728	- 0.17037	0.08519	11.73916	- 0.08519
7	Vinblastine (*)	- 0.02317	- 0.16658	- 0.14341	0.07171	13.94603	- 0.07171
8	Imatinib (*)	- 0.05644	- 0.20030	- 0.14386	0.07193	13.90241	- 0.07193
9	Vactosertib (*)	- 0.06249	- 0.20357	- 0.14108	0.07054	14.17635	- 0.07054
10	Camptothecin (*)	- 0.08968	- 0.22492	- 0.13524	0.06762	14.78852	- 0.06762
11	Acalabrutinib (*)	- 0.06068	- 0.19402	- 0.13334	0.06667	14.99925	- 0.06667
12	Vincristine (*)	- 0.04618	- 0.17294	- 0.12676	0.06338	15.77785	- 0.06338

(*): The conventional anti-cancer drug; LUMO: Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital; HOMO: Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital; η: hardness; S: softness; χ: electronegativity

were selected between 353 and 420 targets obtained by SP and STP (Fig. 1C). The STRING database, and R Package were adopted to perform protein–protein interaction (PPI) networks (115 nodes, 603 edges), identifying certain target(s) with the highest connectivity. Consequently, heat shock protein 90 alpha family class A member 1 (HSP90AA1) with the greatest degree of value (DV; 48 degrees) was the uppermost protein coding gene to hamper cancer progression (Additional file 2: Table S2), (Fig. 1D). Notably, a report demonstrated that HSP90AA1 stabilizes the cancer cell, and overexpressed in leukemia and bladder cancer [2]. It implies that inhibition of HSP90AA1 might be a potential candidate against cancer. A bubble chart shows that the number of 37 signaling pathways associated with the 119 targets was related to the occurrence and progression of cancer (Additional file 3: Table S3). Of these, NOD-like receptor (NLR) signaling pathway indicated the smallest rich factor was defined as antagonism (Fig. 1E), indicating that the inhibitors of the signaling pathway might be promising agent(s) to treat cancer [3]. Third, an overlapping CA associated with the four targets was

"Nordeoxyharringtonine", which was also confirmed as a hub compound by molecular docking assessment (MDA), and density functional theory (DFT). The Nordeoxyharringtonine formed stable complex (< -6.0 kcal/ mol) [4] in all four targets via AutoDock 1.5.6. (Fig. 1F, G, H, I; Additional file 4: Table S4). To obtain the extensive confirmation, we performed the DFT analysis with eleven conventional anticancer drugs, indicating that the softness (S) value of the eleven anticancer drugs was between 15.77785 (eV) and 6.2278 (eV) (Fig. 1J). The softness (S) depends on E_{GAP} (Energy gap; Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital (HOMO)-Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital (LUMO) energy gap), the molecule along the lower energy gap is defined as better reactivity level. The below mathematical set was used to establish the reactivation of leading compounds.

EGAP = HOMO - LUMO

Hardness $(\eta) = (LUMO - HOMO)/2$

Softness (S) = $1/\eta$

Electronegativity
$$(x) = -(LUMO - HOMO)/2$$

Thus, Nordeoxyharringtonine with 10.92061(eV) was within the range (15.77785–6.2278 eV), which means that Nordeoxyharringtonine might be a promising agent to use as anticancer mediator (Table 1). Finally, we investigated the toxicity via ADMETlab2.0 and ProTox-II, identifying that Nordeoxyharringtonine had no noticeable obstacles to develop a new medication (Additional file 5: Table S5).

In this study, we have suggested that Nordeoxyharringtonine is the most significant CA against pan-cancer. The Nordeoxyharringtonine can be paved the way to validate anti-pan-cancer in CAs as inhibitors on multipletargets (HSP90AA1, CASP8, TLR4, and PRKCD) to NLR signaling pathway. The key summary of this study was represented in Fig. 1K.

Abbreviations

DFT	Density functional theory
DV	Degree of value
Х	Electronegativity
E _{GAP}	Energy gap
η	Hardness
HSP90AA1	Heat Shock Protein 90 Alpha family class A member 1
HOMO	Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital
LUMO	Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital
MDA	Molecular docking assessment
NIR	NOD-like receptor

PPI Protein–Protein Interaction

SP SuperPred

STP Swiss Target Prediction

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12967-024-05059-0.

Additional file 1: Table S1. The physicochemical properties of chemical constituents.

Additional file 2: Table S2. The degree of value in key targets.

Additional file 3: Table S3. The 37 signaling pathways related to occurrence and development of cancer.

Additional file 4: Table S4. The binding energy of four key targets on NLR signaling pathway.

Additional file 5: Table S5. The toxic parameters of Nordeoxyharringtonine.

Acknowledgements

Open access publishing facilitated by Hallym University, the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Korea Institute for Advancement of Technology, and Bio Industrial Technology Development Program funded by the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy (MOTIE, Korea).

Author contributions

Methodology, Conceptualization, Data curation, Software, Writing-original draft: KKO, Methodology, Data curation, Software: SJY, Formal analysis, Validation: JAE, Methodology, Data curation: KJL, Methodology, Formal analysis: GHK, Supervision, Investigation, Project administration: DJK, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review editing: KTS.

Funding

This research was supported by Hallym University Research Fund, the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (NRF2019R111A3A01060447 and NRF-2020R1A6A1A03043026), Korea Institute for Advancement of Technology (P0020622), and Bio Industrial Technology Development Program (20018494) funded by the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy (MOTIE, Korea). All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its Additional files).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 1 March 2024 Accepted: 4 March 2024 Published online: 10 March 2024

References

- Dixit P, Singh N, Singh L, Srivastava RP, Pandey S, Singh S, et al. Screening for the biochemical profile and biological activity in Cephalotaxus and Taxus collected from north-eastern Himalayas. ACS Agric Sci Technol Am Chem Soc. 2023;3:694–700. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsagscitech.3c001 26.
- Xiao X, Wang W, Li Y, Yang D, Li X, Shen C, et al. HSP90AA1-mediated autophagy promotes drug resistance in osteosarcoma. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2018;37:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-018-0880-6.
- Oh K, Yoon S, Lee S, Lee SY, Gupta H, Ganesan R, et al. The juxtaposition of llex cornuta fruit and gut microbiota against alcoholic liver disease based on the integrated pharmacology via metabolomics. Clin Transl Med. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1002/ctm2.1392.
- Shityakov S, Förster C. In silico predictive model to determine vectormediated transport properties for the blood-brain barrier choline transporter. Advances and applications in bioinformatics and chemistry: AABC. Adv Appl Bioinform Chem. 2014;7:23–36.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.