

# ORAL PRESENTATION



# Peripheral and tumor immune correlates in patients with advanced melanoma treated with nivolumab (anti-PD-1, BMS-936558, ONO-4538) monotherapy or in combination with ipilimumab

Michael A Postow<sup>1\*</sup>, Diana M Cardona<sup>2</sup>, Janis M Taube<sup>3</sup>, Robert A Anders<sup>3</sup>, Clive R Taylor<sup>4</sup>, Jedd D Wolchok<sup>1</sup>, Margaret K Callahan<sup>1</sup>, Michael A Curran<sup>1</sup>, Alexander M Lesokhin<sup>1</sup>, Joseph F Grosso<sup>5</sup>, Christine E Horak<sup>5</sup>, John Cogswell<sup>5</sup>, Jason S Simon<sup>5</sup>, Ashok K Gupta<sup>5</sup>, Mario Sznol<sup>6</sup>

*From* Melanoma Bridge meeting 2013 Naples, Italy. 5-8 December 2013

# Background

The fully human monoclonal antibodies nivolumab (Nivo) and ipilimumab (Ipi) block the interaction between the immune checkpoint receptors programmed death-1 (PD-1) and cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4), respectively, and their cognate ligands, restoring antitumor immune response. Phase 1 studies of Nivo monotherapy (CA209-003; NCT00730639) or Nivo+Ipi combination therapy (CA209-004; NCT01024231) demonstrated durable clinical activity and objective response rates (ORRs) of 31% to 40%, respectively, in patients with advanced melanoma (MEL). Evaluation of PD-L1 expression using immunohistochemistry (IHC) suggested a correlation between pretreatment tumor PD-L1 expression and clinical response to Nivo monotherapy [1]. Identification of predictive markers of response would be valuable to guide effective use of Nivo and Ipi.

# Materials and methods

MEL patients received Nivo monotherapy (n=107) or Nivo +Ipi combination therapy (N=86; concurrent regimen: n=53; sequenced regimen: n=33). Tumor and tumor infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) surface programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression in formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue was evaluated by IHC with an automated assay (Dako) using the 28-8 Ab. Tumor PD-L1 positivity (PD-L1+) was defined as  $\geq$ 5% cell

\* Correspondence: postowm@mskcc.org

<sup>1</sup>Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article membrane staining of any intensity; any expression on TILs was considered positive. Absolute lymphocyte counts (ALC) were measured in serial peripheral blood samples and lymphocyte subsets were evaluated using flow cytometry.

# Results

Tumor PD-L1-positive expression was observed in 45% and 37% of samples from the 003 and 004 studies, respectively. In 003, inclusion of any immune cell staining increased PD-L1 positivity to 92%. A numerically higher ORR was observed in MEL patients with PD-L1+ tumors with Nivo monotherapy or with sequential but not concurrent combination therapy. Neither study demonstrated an obvious change in ALC; however, phenotypic changes in T-cell subsets, including increases in the percentage of CD4 and CD8 expressing HLA-DR, ICOS and/or Ki67, were seen with combination therapy. In both studies, responses were observed irrespective of tumor PD-L1 or ALC status. In an exploratory analysis low pretreatment myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSC) correlated with higher ORR with combination therapy (*P*< 0.05).

# Conclusions

PD-L1 positivity is associated with tumor response with Nivo monotherapy; however, some responses were observed independent of PD-L1 or ALC status. No correlation between response and PD-L1 or ALC status was seen with combination therapy. MDSC levels may correlate with response to combination therapy. Future



© 2014 Postow et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http:// creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated. phase 3 randomized studies will explore these markers and other phenotypic changes in immune cell populations that might predict activity of Nivo in patients with MEL and other advanced cancers.

#### Authors' details

<sup>1</sup>Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA. <sup>2</sup>Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA. <sup>3</sup>The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, MD, USA. <sup>4</sup>Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA. <sup>5</sup>Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ, USA. <sup>6</sup>Yale University School of Medicine and Yale Cancer Center, New Haven, CT, USA.

#### Published: 6 May 2014

#### Reference

1. Topalian S, et al: N Engl J Med 2012, 366:2443-54.

#### doi:10.1186/1479-5876-12-S1-O8

**Cite this article as:** Postow *et al.*: **Peripheral and tumor immune** correlates in patients with advanced melanoma treated with nivolumab (anti-PD-1, BMS-936558, ONO-4538) monotherapy or in combination with ipilimumab. *Journal of Translational Medicine* 2014 **12**(Suppl 1):O8.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit